
Meeting Minutes

OR 66 Green Springs Highway Interchange Area Management Plan (IAMP)

Visioning Workshop
December 19, 2011 at 3:00 p.m.

Klamath County Commissioners Hearing Room
Hearing Room 219
305 Main Street
Klamath Falls, Oregon

Black Text – Agenda Items

Blue Text – Meeting Minutes

Attendees:	Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT)	David Steiner, Ana Jovanovic, Peter Schuytema, David Warrick, David Boyd, Butch Hansen, Devin Hearing, Jason Armstrong, Tom Feeley, Martin Matejsek
	City of Klamath Falls:	Mark Willrett, Joe Slaughter, Tom Del Santo
	Klamath County:	Bill Adams, Stan Strickland
	Kittelson & Associates:	Hermanus Steyn, Susan Wright Matt Kittelson
	Angelo Planning Group:	Shayna Rehberg

This memorandum captures key aspects and discussion items highlighted and discussed during both the afternoon (During working hours of agency staff) and evening (PT, Stakeholders, and General Public) sessions.

Agenda:

1. Introductions
2. IAMP Project Statement
 - a. Project's original goals and objectives

The original goals and objectives were highlighted.

3. Project Priorities Identified to Date
 - a. Priorities identified at the Kick-off Meetings

No additional priorities were identified that needed to be added to those identified during PT #1.

- b. Are there differences between original goals and objective, and the identified priorities

The identified project priorities are consistent with the original goals and objectives for the project.

- c. Evaluation criteria

Draft evaluation criteria will be presented as part of Technical Memorandum #2 based on the project, goals, objectives, and identified priorities.

4. Long-Range Planning in the Interchange Management Study Area (IMSA)

- a. A series of City and County maps illustrating the following aspects related to the preliminary IMSA:

The following status updates were provided during the meeting and the project team (PT) is encouraged to forward all edits to be incorporated in these maps.

- The school within the potential IMSA is closed and for sale. Another school located in the vicinity of the US 97/Main Street interchange is also temporarily closed.
- The park designations were questioned and may not operate as typical parks.
- The commercial zoning designation for the Reams Country Club includes a planned unit development (PUD) portion. The details associated with this PUD are unclear. In addition, there is a planned 200 unit single family development.
- It was decided that the area east of Orindale Road that is currently outside the urban growth boundary (UGB) should be included within the IMSA.
- The area west of Orindale Road that is currently within the UGB should be included within the IMSA.
- The South View development that access off OR 140 should be included within the IMSA.
- There is a planned industrial development (Columbia Plywood) with access off US 97 south of the interchange that should also be included within the IMSA.
- The future traffic volumes will be revisited based on the latest TPAU model, as well as know developments within the IMSA.

b. Are these still consistent with the overall vision?

General consensus was that the IAMP should have two IMSAs

- IMSA #1: Area of Operational Influence
 - Identified by study intersection list
 1. OR 140/Orindale Rd.
 2. Greensprings Dr./Riverside Dr.
 3. Greensprings Dr./Memorial Dr.
 4. Orindale Rd./Balsam Dr.
 5. OR 66/Orindale Rd.
 6. OR 66/Emerald Rd.
 7. OR 66/Balsam St.
 8. OR 66/OR 140
 9. OR 140/Delap Rd.
 10. OR 140/US 97 SB Ramps
 11. OR 140/US 97 NB Ramps
 12. OR 140/Greensprings Dr.
 13. OR 140/Memorial Dr.
 14. OR 140/Midland Rd./Tingley Ln.
 15. US 97/Reames Country Club
 16. US 97/Columbia Plywood
- IMSA #2: Area of Land Use Influence
 - Area defined by attached map

5. Interchange Design 101

On overview of interchange design highlighting the following was provided:

- System hierarchy and functional classification
- Interchange types and forms
- Design considerations
- Study area considerations

6. Brainstorming Session

Scaled base maps with sketching tools will be provided and participants were encouraged to capture their thoughts by drawing and making notes on aerials. The attached comments/concept ideas were gathered the following two sessions:

- Afternoon (3:00 – 5:00 pm): During working hours of agency staff
- Evening (6:30 – 8:00 pm): PT, Stakeholders, and General Public

7. Concluding Comments

The sketching of interchange concepts revealed no additional goals, objectives, and/or priorities, as well as no potential changes to the discussed IMSA.

8. Next Steps

Participants were reminded of the January 9, 2012 Project Team Meeting #2 and that the following deliverables will be discussed:

- Review Technical Memorandum #1 – Adopted Plans Review
- Draft outline of a potential Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA)
- Review Technical Memorandum #2 – IAMP Goals, Objectives, Evaluation Criteria, and Visioning
- Public Involvement Plan